671 Million Suit Filed y Rewald Against CIA

By Charles Memminger Star-Bulletin Writer

Embattled investment counselor Ronald Rewald today filed a \$671 million suit against the CLA, but the grounds for the suit remain secret under a federal court order.

Rewald's civil attorney Robert Smith this morning filed the suit in U.S. District Court here and filed an identical suit by mail in U.S. Claims Court in Washington, D.C.

Smith said the suits were filed because Rewald believes "he has claims against the agency" and that pursuing those claims is "the only way investors are going get back their money.

MEANWHILE. MEANWHILE, a group of Northern California investors filed suit yesterday against the ClA, seeking \$5.5 million damages for allegedly portraying Rewald's company — Bishop, Baldwin, Re-wald, Dillingham & Wong — as a CLA operation.

Although the grounds for Rewald's suits are secret, it was the first time Rewald has publicly

charged that the ClA had some-thing to do with his company.
While others involved in the company have suggested Rewald's operation was a front for ClA activities, Rewald, through his attorneys, has refused to comment at all about the CIA.

While charging in general visit his wife and children whom terms that the CIA was liable for be has not seen since his arrest damages, the specific grounds for, six months ago. Tomorrow, Cirthe suit are sealed. A federal cuit Judge Robert Chang will court order signed by U.S. Judge, hear Rewald's request to travel.

SMITH ANNOUNCED two, weeks ago, after disclosing that he had joined forces with San-Francisco attorney Melvin Belli,

that he would be suing the CIA.

Belli represents a group of investors who contend they invested through June 1983.

Money in Rewald's company because they thought it was connected to the CIA.

Sources have said that at least and interpretable an

business associates have said they believed the company was doing work for the CIA. While running the company, Rewald also was involved in several other companies described by sources as CIA 'fronts.'

Judge Pence and bankruptcv administrator Thomas Hayes have said Rewald's involvement with the CIA was minimal and does not explain his alleged use of investor money for his personal expenses.

expenses.

In September, CIA attorney
Robert Laprade filed an affidavit
in which he said the CIA was not
involved in the formation of the
company and had "absolutely
nothing to do" with Rewald's alleged theft of investor money.

After the company was forced
into bankruptcy in August, some
S17 million in company funds was

\$17 million in company funds was

parties involved in the Rewald. THE SUIT filed yesterday was case from referring to matters brought by Robert W. Jinks of pertaining to the ClA.

The Hawaii chapter of the Napa Properties, and 14 Napa American Civil Liberties Union is County investors in the partner-challenging that order, contended ship.

The suit cold re-

Sources have said that at least ed, including principal and inter-one CIA agent used Rewald's est, were "secured and backed by company for cover and several the full faith and credit" of the United States and guaranteed by the CIA.

It further claimed Rewald said the investment was being used for the CIA and national security for the CIA and national security and the company was started by the agency to "infiltrate international banking operations in order to discover transfers of capital to and from communist countries."

Rewald also said the investment would have a guaranteed growth of at least 20 percent annually, the suit alleged (all properties). Dale Peterson, CIA public affairs officer in Washington, said he would have no comment on the suit, but said documents

the suit, but said documents released by a court in Hawaii indicated the CIA involvement with the company was "very